## Generation of Radical Cations from Enamines and Their Addition to Unactivated Olefins

## **Janine Cossy\* and Abderrahim Bouzide**

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Associé au CNRS, ESPCI, 10 rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cédex 05, France

Oxidation of unsaturated enamines by metallic salts produced radical cations which added intramolecularly to unactivated olefins, producing cyclized products in a highly efficient manner.

Enamines are widely used in organic synthesis as nucleophilic reagents in carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, enamines can be used as electrophiles when they are oxidized to their corresponding radicals. Until now, these radical cations were produced only by electrochemical methods.<sup>2</sup>

We report here that enamines 1 and 2, which have a redox potential of about 0.8 V vs. standard calomel electrode (SCE),<sup>3</sup> can be oxidized by two equivalents of metallic salts such as Co(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, AgOAc or CuCN or by one equivalent of Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>. The radical cation which is formed reacts intramolecularly with unactivated olefins, producing cyclized products in good yields. The reactions were performed at room temperature except for those involving Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> and CuCN which were run at 80 °C. The results are summarized in Table 1. Since no azaspiro compounds were observed when the enamines were treated with a Lewis acid such as  $EtAlCl_2$  or  $ZnCl_2$ , an ene reaction can be excluded.<sup>4</sup> The formation of azaspiro compounds is assumed to proceed *via* the radical cation **B** (Scheme 1). This radical cyclizes to produce the corresponding radical **C** which is reduced to **D** by ethanol<sup>5</sup> in the presence of AgOAc,<sup>†</sup> CuCN<sup>†</sup> and Co(OAc)<sup>‡</sup> or leads to the formation of **E** when Cu(OAc)<sup>2</sup> is used<sup>6</sup> (Scheme 1). Only one equivalent of Cu(OAc)<sup>2</sup> was necessary to obtain **5** (61%) and **8** (85%) from **1** and **2**, respectively. This is probably the

<sup>†</sup> A deposit of metal was observed on the wall of the flask.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> By analogy with the oxidation of diketones, Co<sup>II</sup> seems to act on enamines as an oxidizing agent; J. Iqbal, T. K. Praveen Kumar and S. Manogaran, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 4701.

Table 1 Oxidation of enamines by metallic salts in ethanol



<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions for compound 1: metal salt, then  $H_3O^+$ ; conditions for compound 2: metal salt. <sup>*b*</sup> RT = room temperature.

8

85

80°C

 $Cu(OAc)_2(1)$ 

2

result of a dismutation of  $Cu^{I}$  to  $Cu^{II} + Cu^{I} \rightarrow Cu^{II} + Cu^{0}$ .<sup>7</sup> The observed stereoselectivity is independent of the oxidants and is probably associated with steric effects as well as electrostatic effects.§

In cases where AgOAc is used to produce the azaspiro compounds, two competing mechanisms can be envisaged: a radical mechanism or an electrophilic mechanism. To verify if a radical mechanism was involved, 1 and 2 were treated with AgOAc (2 equiv.) and  $Cu(OAc)_2$  (1 equiv.) at room temperature. Under these conditions, the azaspiro compounds 5 and 8 were obtained in yields of 57 and 82% respectively. These results allow one to propose that a radical cation of type **B** is involved in the oxidation of the enamine by AgOAc. Because  $Cu(OAc)_2$  is unable to promote the reaction at room temperature, the initial reaction is initiated by AgOAc, with subsequent oxidation of the product radical by  $Cu(OAc)_2$ .

§ The stereochemistry of 3, 4, 6 and 7 was established by X-ray analysis: J. Cossy and A. Bouzide, unpublished results.



Scheme 1 Mechanism for the formation of the azaspiro products.

In summary, it has been demonstrated that the oxidation of enamines¶ by various metallic salts can produce a radical cation which adds efficiently to unactivated olefins producing cyclized products.

Received, 20th April 1993; Com. 3/02281A

## References

- G. Stork, A. Brizzolara, H. Landesman, J. Szmuszkovicz and R. Terrel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 207; M. E. Kuehne, Synthesis, 1970, 510; J. K. Whitesell and M. A. Whitesell, Synthesis, 1983, 517.
- T. Chiba, H. Okimoto, H. Nagai and Y. Takata, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 3519; T. Shono, Y. Matsumura, H. Hamaguchi, T. Imanishi and K. Yoshida, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1978, 51, 2179; S. Torii, T. Yamada and H. Tanaka, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 2882.
- 3 J. Cossy and P. Audebert, unpublished results.
- 4 H. M. R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1969, 8, 556;
  B. B. Snider, Acc. Chem. Res., 1980, 13, 426; W. Oppolzer and V. Snieckus, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1978, 18, 476; B. B. Snider, D. J. Rodini, M. Karras, T. C. Kirk, E. A. Deutsch, R. Cordova and R. T. Price, Tetrahedron., 1981, 37, 3927.
- 5 B. B. Snider, J. E. Merrit, M. A. Dombroski and B. O. Buchman J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 5544.
- 6 B. B. Snider and B. O. Buckman, *Tetrahedron*, 1989, **45**, 6969, and references therein.
- 7 C. E. Castro, E. J. Gaughan and D. C. Owsley, J. Org. Chem., 1965, 30, 587.

¶ This reaction is general as azaspiro compounds were obtained for n = 2 and for R = Me with similar yields.